Role of Diffusion Weighted MRI in Evaluation of Orbital Lesions
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ABSTRACT

Background: Orbital lesions represent a spectrum of benign and malignant lesions in adults and children that can be challenging to diagnose and treat. Magnetic resonance imaging is a powerful tool for imaging the orbit, due to the excellent tissue contrast.

Aim of the work: To evaluate the ability of Diffusion-Weighted MRI in the characterization of the orbital lesions.

Patients and methods: We evaluated 50 patients with 25 malignant and 25 benign orbital lesions. MR examinations were performed with a 1.5-T system. DWIs images were obtained in all patients. The apparent diffusion coefficient (ADC) was calculated and correlated with the pathology results.

Results: The mean ADC value of benign and malignant orbital masses was 1.53 ± 0.61 x 10^-3 mm^2/s and 0.86 ± 0.35 x 10^-3 mm^2/s, respectively. There was a significant difference in the mean ADC value between benign and malignant orbital masses (P < 0.001). The mean ADC value of benign was significantly higher than that of malignant orbital masses, with considering ADC value of 0.90 x10^-3 mm^2/sec is a cut off between benign & malignant orbital masses by sensitivity 76% and specificity 96%.

Conclusion: We can conclude that the combination of conventional MRI finding and ADC calculation together with clinical finding could improve the diagnostic efficacy of MR imaging in the differentiation between benign and malignant orbital masses with considering an ADC cut off value of 0.90 x10^-3 mm^2/sec between benign and malignant orbital masses with specificity 76% & sensitivity 96%.
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INTRODUCTION

Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) may help the diagnosis of orbital lesions, as there are some pathognomonic features for particular masses as for cavernous hemangioma. However, for cases without these pathognomonic characteristics, it remains difficult to deliver a diagnosis based on MR imaging features because there are often unspecific and overlapping imaging findings. Aggravating this situation, rare tumor entities are unexpected and therefore may be misdiagnosed.

MRI has more values over CT as in its tissue contrast, intrinsic flow sensitivity and non-ionizing radiation. MRI techniques, such as diffusion-weighted imaging (DWI) and dynamic contrast enhanced (DCE) MRI, as well as other functional imaging modalities have been showing great potential in oncologic applications of head and neck tumors.

Published data indicate that the use of advanced MRI sequence techniques like DWIs with quantitative apparent diffusion coefficient (ADC) mapping and DCE may provide additional information about the entity of orbital masses.

Generally, due to the enlarged nuclei, hypercellularity, and subsequent limited extra-cellular space, malignant orbital tumors demonstrate lower ADC value than the benign tumors. However, the reproducibility of ADC measurements is still a challenge during clinical practice. Previous study has shown that ADC value can be affected by several factors, such as the magnetic field strength, MRI acquisition parameters, and also the region of interest (ROI) selection methods.
This study aims for the assessment of the capability of diffusion-weighted magnetic resonance imaging to differentiate benign from malignant orbital lesions.

**PATIENTS AND METHODS**

**Study Population**

This study was compliant with our institution committee ethics with a written consent taken from all patients. This study included 50 patients (25 male & 25 female), their ages range from (4 months to 72 years). All the patients referred from outpatient clinics with orbital lesions to radiology department.

The inclusion criteria was patients of any age group with clinical and radiological findings of orbital masses. The exclusion criteria was patients with contraindications to MRI or either DW or DCE MRI was not used. Patients with absolute contraindications should not be examined. Relative contraindications may pose a relative hazard, and the type and location of an implant should be assessed prior to the MRI examination.

**Patient preparation:**

For the patient who need anesthesia, fasting four hours before the scan is required.

**Technique:**

Conventional and CEMRI: All the patients were examined by MRI orbit with diffusion in National cancer Institute, Cairo university, using a 1.5 T scanner (Achieva, Philips Medical Systems, Best, Netherlands, Release 2.6, and Level 3). All the cases were examined in supine position with standard circularly polarized head coil using the following sequences: Scout T1 TFE (15/5.2 ms) TR/TE, Axial T2WI brain spin echo (4126/100 ms) TR/TE, Axial T1Wspin echo orbit (406/15 ms) TR/TE, Axial STIR orbit (3257/20 ms) TR/TE, Coronal T1WI spin echo (447/15 ms) TR/TE, Coronal T2Wspin echo (4417 /100 ms) TR/TE.

After intravenous administration of Gadolinium-DTPA (0.3 mg/kg ), contrast enhanced T1WI in axial, sagittal and coronal planes are obtained; T1WI in axial (406/15 ms) TR/TE, T1WI in sagittal (515/514 ms) TR/TE, T1WI in coronal (447/15 ms) TR/TE.

MR Diffusion Imaging: All cases were evaluated by DWI in axial planes with ADC map. Diffusion-weighted MR imaging was obtained using a multi section single-shot echo planar imaging sequence (TR/TE/NEX; 2200/139 ms/1) field of view 195 mm, matrix size, 128 – 128, section thickness 4 mm, section gap 0.3 mm with b values of 0 and 800 s/mm².

Post-processing: The ADC maps were calculated automatically by the MRI software. The ADC values were expressed $10^{-3}$ mm²/s. The ADC values were measured by manually placing regions of interest in tumor regions on the ADC map. In the solid lesions, regions of interest were placed at the site of enhancing parts on contrast-enhanced T1- weighted MR images (and avoiding the cystic, necrotic, and hemorrhagic tumor areas). To calculate the ADC values, the sizes of the regions of interest were adjusted according to the lesion size.

**Statistical Analysis**

The statistical analysis of data was done by using Excel and the SPSS program (Statistical Package for Social Science version 25 [IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA]). The description of data was done in the form of mean, standard deviation, median, minimum and maximum in quantitative data and using frequency (count) and relative frequency (percentage) for categorical data. The Kolmogorov–Smirnov (K–S) test was done for diagnosis normality of data distribution. The analysis of data was done to test statistical significant difference. Comparisons between quantitative variables were done using the non-parametric Mann-Whitney test. For comparing categorical data, Chi square ($\chi^2$) test was performed. Exact test was used instead when the expected frequency is less than 5. ROC curve was constructed with area under curve analysis performed to detect best cutoff value of ADC for detection of malignancy. P-values less than 0.05 were considered as statistically significant.

**RESULTS**

The study sample was comprised of 50 patients (25 female and 25 male) with orbital masses. Patients’ age ranged from 4 months to 72 years. The mean age of the cases was 27.04 years ± 22.93 years (figure 1).
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>50.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>50.00%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Conventional MRI criteria of all lesions:** On basis of signal intensity on T1 &T2 and contrast uptake, the lesions are distributed as in table (1):

From table (1), most of the lesions (benign and malignant) types showed hypointense S.I (58%) on T1WIs and hyperintense on T2WIs (44 %). Most of the benign lesions showed hypointense S.I on T1WIs and iso/hyperintense on T2WIs. Most of the malignant lesions showed hypointense S.I on T1WIs and hyperintense on T2WIs.
Regarding the enhancement pattern in our results, (40\%) of benign lesions showed homogenous enhancement and (28\%) showed heterogeneous enhancement (table 2); however, (60\%) of malignant lesions showed heterogeneous enhancement, and (40\%) showed homogenous enhancement (table 2).

**Table 1:** Frequency (f) distribution of signal intensities by MRI among orbital lesions.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>All Lesions</th>
<th>Benign Lesions</th>
<th>Malignant Lesions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>T1 (f)</td>
<td>T2 (%)</td>
<td>T1 (f)</td>
<td>T2 (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hyper intense</td>
<td>5 10</td>
<td>2 8</td>
<td>3 12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypo intense</td>
<td>29 58</td>
<td>14 56</td>
<td>6 24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iso intense</td>
<td>13 26</td>
<td>7 28</td>
<td>6 24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mixed</td>
<td>3 6</td>
<td>2 8</td>
<td>1 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>50 100</td>
<td>25 100</td>
<td>25 100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 2:** Frequency distribution of patterns of contrast enhancement among the benign lesions.

Most of the benign lesions (21/25) showed facilitated diffusion. Of the benign lesions, meningioma, invasive fungal sinusitis and dermoid cysts (two cases) showed restricted diffusion on DWIs.

**Table 3:** Calculated ADC Values

The calculated ADC values of the lesions ranged from 0.35 – 2.80 x 10⁻³ mm²/sec. The mean, median and range of the ADC values of all patients are shown in table (3). There were statistically significant differences in ADC values between the malignant tumors and benign lesions (p<0.001).

**Fig. 2:** Mean of ADC value of benign and malignant lesions.

Therefore, ADC value of 0.90 x10⁻³ mm²/sec is a cut off between benign & malignant orbital masses by sensitivity 76 \% and specificity 96 \%. Below the cut off value (0.90 x10⁻³ mm²/sec), 1 benign & 20 malignant lesions were found, and above the cut off value 24 benign & 5 malignant lesions were detected (figure 3).

**Fig. 3:** Receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve of the ADC value used for differentiating malignant tumors from benign lesions. The area under the curve is 0.882, which indicates that the accuracy of the test is 86\%.
DISCUSSION

Our results showed no sex predilection among orbital lesions. This is similar to Xian et al. 3, Otulana et al. 4 and Shinder et al. 5 study results.

Our results showed mean age of the cases 27.04 years (4 months to 72 years). This is close to Gokharman and Aydin 6 study results that showed mean age of 31 years (0-65 years).

There was no significant correlation between age of patients and differentiation of lesions into benign and malignant lesions as any age may be affected by benign or malignant lesions. Also, Xian et al. 3 and Akter et al. 7 did not found correlation between age and nature of lesions.

In the present study, retinoblastoma & rhabdomyosarcoma were seen in children. This is similar to Bienvenido and Lawrence 8 who mentioned that in pediatrics, the most common orbital malignancy is rhabdomyosarcoma and the most common intraocular malignant lesion is retinoblastoma. Also, similar previous studies as Chung et al. 9, Jayanta e al. 10 & Kralik et al. 11 who mentioned that rhabdomyosarcoma is the most common extraocular orbital tumor in children.

The current study revealed no significant correlation of the side involvement of lesions and the benignity versus malignancy; this is similar to Sepahdari et al. 5 and Akter et al. 7 finding.

Most of the lesions (benign and malignant) types showed hypointense S.I (58%) on T1WIs and hyperintense on T2WIs (44%). This is similar to Sultan et al. 12 study results. In addition, most of the benign lesions showed hypointense S.I on T1WIs and iso/hyperintense on T2WIs and most of the malignant lesions showed hypointense S.I on T1WIs and hyperintense on T2WIs. This is similar to Akter et al. 7 study results who mentioned that most of the malignant tumors had low signal intensity on T1WI, iso or slightly high signal intensity on T2WIs and most of the benign tumors had low intensity on T1WIs, high or slightly signal intensity on T2WIs.

In the present study, signal intensity with insignificant P-value in differentiating between benign and malignant lesions. This is similar to Sepahdari et al. 5 findings who mentioned that conventional imaging features such as signal intensity on T2WI have not accurately predicted malignancy, and many benign lesions have been sampled for biopsy to exclude clinically suspected malignancy.

In addition, Sepahdari et al. 13 mentioned that although T1-, T2-, and contrast-enhanced T1-weighted sequences can often distinguish various ocular neoplasms from simulating lesions, certain diagnostic dilemmas remain.

However, there was disagreement with other studies like Xiao-Quan et al. 2 and Xian et al. 3 studies who mentioned isointense signal on T2WI was found to be a predictive feature for orbital malignancy in their studies. This was explained by that most common primary orbital malignant tumors in in their studies is lymphoma, which usually manifests as iso-intense on T2WI compared to extraocular muscles, so it is not surprising that iso-intense on T2WI could be an important predictive factor for malignancy.

In our study, most of the benign lesions showed homogenous enhancement. This is similar to Akter et al. 7 and Sultan et al. 12 study results. Also, most of malignant lesions showed heterogeneous enhancement, this in keeping with Akter et al. 7 who mentioned that most of the malignant tumors show heterogeneous enhancement.

In our study, most of the benign lesions showed facilitated diffusion and most of the malignant lesions showed restricted diffusion on DWIs. This was similar to Rosidy et al. 14 and Sepahdari et al. 5 study results.

In addition, our study results showed a case of optic nerve meningioma that showed restricted diffusion, this was similar to Safer et al. 15 study results that showed that optic nerve meningioma shows restricted diffusion because of their hypercellular nature.

In the present study, a case of invasive fungal sinusitis showed restricted diffusion, this was similar to Safer et al. 15 study results which were explained by ischemia & infarction.

Also, we had one case of malignant peripheral nerve sheath tumor that showed facilitated diffusion, this was similar to Abdelrazek et al. 16 study results as malignant peripheral nerve sheath tumor revealed a high ADC value due to the presence of a prominent myxoid component within the tumor.

In the present study, one case of lymphoma & mucocoeplidmoid carcinoma, two cases of squamous cell carcinomas, retinoblastoma & intraocular melanoma, three cases of metastasis & rhabdomyosarcoma showed restricted diffusion, this was similar to Sultan et al. 12 study results.

In the present study, two cases of adenoid cystic carcinoma of the lacrimal gland showed restricted diffusion, this was similar to Elkhamary 17 study results.

Our results revealed that the mean ADC value of benign and malignant orbital masses was 1.53 ± 0.61 x 10^{-3} mm²/s and 0.86 ± 0.35 x 10^{-3} mm²/s, respectively.

Similar, Zareen et al. 18 who reported a mean ADC value of 0.77 x 10^{-3}mm²/s for malignant lesions and a mean ADC for benign lesions equals 1.23 x 10^{-3}mm²/s. Sepahdari et al. 5 reported a mean ADC value of 1.43 ± 0.41 x 10^{-3}mm²/s for malignant lesions and a mean ADC for benign lesions of 0.90 ±
0.36 x 10^-3 mm^2/s. Esam reported a mean ADC value for malignant masses was 0.77 ± 0.22 x 10^-3 mm^2/s and for benign lesions was 1.51 ± 0.39 x 10^-3 mm^2/s. Sepahdari et al. reported that the mean ADC value for malignant masses was 1.02 ± 0.42 x 10^-3 mm^2/s, and for benign lesions, it was 1.36 ± 0.41 x 10^-3 mm^2/s. Abdelrazek et al. reported that the mean ADC value for malignant masses was 0.8 ± 0.34 x 10^-3 mm^2/s, and for benign lesions it was 1.53 ± 0.35 x 10^-3 mm^2/s. The malignant group demonstrated significantly lower ADC values (0.7110.260) versus 1.1870.389 x 10^-3 mm^2/s.

There was a significant difference between ADC value of benign and malignant lesions (P < 0.001). ROC analysis was used to detect the cutoff point differentiating malignant lesions from benign pathologies. The area under the curve was larger than 0.882 (P < 0.001). When 0.90x10^-3 mm^2/s was used as the cutoff ADC to distinguish between benign and malignant lesions, sensitivity was calculated as 76% and specificity as 96%.

The outcomes of the current study showed clear differences between malignant and benign lesions. This was in agreement with similar previous studies as Sepahdari et al. and Esam.

In our study, a threshold value of 0.9 x 10^-3 mm^2/sec, ADC was 76% sensitive (19 of 25), 96% specific (24 of 25), and 86% accurate (43 of 50) for differentiating malignant from benign lesions.

We concluded that the sensitivity of ADC in differentiating benign from malignant orbital masses in our study was 76% indicating a high true positive rate. Hence, if the average ADC is below 0.90 x 10^-3 mm^2/sec, there is high probability that the mass will be malignant with high specificity 96%. Hence, the ADC value can be considered a biomarker to differentiate malignant and benign tumors.

In the present study, optic nerve glioma (n= 4) show ADC range of (1.0–2.50 x 10^-3 mm^2/s). This is attributed to their low cellularity and low proliferative indices. This was in agreement with Nickerson et al. who use of DWI and dynamic contrast-enhanced imaging to calculate the diffusivity and permeability of optic nerve glioma. Similar to other pilocytic astrocytomas, it showed ADC values in the range of 1.2–2.09x10^-3 mm^2/s Sultan et al.

Our study showed no significant difference between the mean ADC value of optic nerve glioma (1.39 ± 0.75 x 10^-3 mm^2/s) and optic nerve meningioma (1.04 x 10^-3 mm^2/s) (P = 0.56). Overlap is seen between ADC values for cases of optic nerve glioma and meningioma, this is similar to Esam results.

In our study, two ocular melanomas showed restricted diffusion with a mean ADC value of 0.81x10^-3 mm^2/s. This is close to Erb-Eigner et al. who reported that ocular melanomas show marked restricted diffusion with a mean ADC value of 0.891x10^-3 mm^2/s.

In our study, we had one case of atypical meningioma showed restricted diffusion with ADC value of 0.70x10^-3 mm^2/s. This is similar to Raymond et al. who reported that an ADC cutoff value of 0.70x10^-3 mm^2/s provided a sensitivity for diagnosing atypical/aggressive meningiomas of 29%, specificity of 94%, positive predictive value of 67%, and negative predictive value of 75%.
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CONCLUSION

So we can conclude that the combination of conventional MRI finding and ADC calculation together with clinical finding could improve the diagnostic efficacy of MR imaging in the differentiation between benign and malignant orbital masses with considering a cut off ADC value of 0.90 x10^-3 mm^2/s between benign and malignant orbital masses with specificity 76% & sensitivity 96%.
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