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Abstract

Background: After considerable experience with laparoscopic cholecystectomy (LC) using four ports, Authors began the three-port LC. Opioid free anesthesia OFA means a technique in which no intraoperative opioid is administered through any route.

Objectives: Study aims to assess both of the safety while using 3 ports during the laparoscopic cholecystectomy procedures and the efficiency of the Opioid Free Anesthesia throughout the perioperative period.

Patients and Methods: Patients were scheduled for elective laparoscopic cholecystectomy and classified randomly into two groups, each one contained 25 patients. Group one has submitted for Opioid Based Anesthesia protocol and group two has submitted to Opioid Free Anesthesia protocol. Surgical technique was Laparoscopic cholecystectomy and it was first tried by 3 port then the fourth port is inserted when indicated.

Results: Three-port LC successfully performed in 92% of cases of the study with safety. There were a statistically significant decrease in perioperative HR, and MAP. Study showed decrease in VAS score, post-operative Pethidine consumption and PONV. No major postoperative complications, as bile leakage or bleeding have been reported.

Conclusion: Three-port LC is a safe and technically feasible. Also OFA is superior to (OA) in anesthesia of patients undergoing LC.
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INTRODUCTION

Laparoscopic cholecystectomy became rapidly the procedure of choice for gallbladder disease1. It decreases postoperative pain, decreases the need for postoperative analgesia, shortens the hospital stay from 1 week to less than 24 hours, and returns the patient to full activity within 1 week (compared with 1 month after open cholecystectomy). LC also provides less scars and improved patient satisfaction as compared with open cholecystectomy.2

The origin of pain after LC is multifactorial -pain arising from incision sites i.e., somatic pain, pain from gall bladder bed i.e., visceral pain and referred pain to shoulder. The most explainable cause for visceral and shoulder pain is peritoneal distension and visceral irritation caused by the creation of pneumoperitoneum and surgical handling.3

Shoulder tip pain appearing after LC, a major aspect of total abdominal pain, is the result of diaphragmatic stretching by the pneumoperitoneum, leading to phrenic nerve neuropraxia and the stimulation of subdiaphragmatic fibers with local inflammatory stimuli such as chemical irritation, ischemia, and compression.4

Several methods have been reported to decrease pain in the postoperative period such as: giving a local anesthetic at the site of the trocars, injection of a local anesthetic intraperitoneal, intermittent administration of non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs opioids, decrease pressure during Pneumoperitoneum and decrease the numbers of operating ports.5 Opioids have known side effects, like respiratory depression, postoperative nausea and vomiting, pruritus, difficulty in voiding, and ileus. These complications may lead to a prolonged hospital stay. High dose opioids can also cause acute opioid tolerance and hyperalgesia.6 Opioid free anesthesia OFA is a technique in which no intraoperative opioid administered through any route.

Opioid free anesthesia OFA is a technique in which no intraoperative opioid administered through any route, including systemic, neuraxial, or tissue infiltration. The number of case reports and small prospective studies from all over the world supports its benefits, especially in the morbidly obese population.7,8

Initially, OFA depends on combinations of non-opioid agents and adjuncts, including propofol, lidocaine, magnesium, dexamethomidine, and ketamine to produce anesthesia, and analgesia.9

Aim of the study is to Compare OFA with Opioid anesthesia (OA) as regards hemodynamic (primary outcome). Postoperative VAS, Total Pethidine consumption.
All patients must be fasted six hours before surgery for solids and two hours for clear fluids. In the preanesthetic holding area, two cannulae were inserted to all patients, an intravenous wide bore cannula (18G) was inserted and another intravenous cannula (20G) was obtained for infusion of the studied drugs. Patients were instructed to use the visual analogue scale (VAS) for pain assessment postoperatively! representing "no pain" and "10" representing "The Worst Pain Imaginable". While shifting the patient to the operating room, resuscitating drugs must be available, all patients have received 50 mg Ranitidine as an H$_2$ receptor antagonist, 10 mg Metoclopramide and 10ml/kg of lactated Ringer's solution, provided patient's fasting hours was calculated and the deficit was administered. Intraoperative monitoring includes ASA standard monitoring by Drager (Infinity Vista XL) monitor manufactured by (Drager medical system, Inc. Telford, PA18969-1042 USA); Electrocardiography (ECG), noninvasive blood pressure, pulse oximetry for O$_2$ saturation, end-tidal CO$_2$ values by capnography. Bisspectral index for the depth of anesthesia.

All Patients of both groups were pre-oxygenated for 5 minutes before induction and administration of midazolam 0.05 mg/kg anesthesia were induced with 2 mg/kg i.v. propofol, cisatracurium (0.1mg/kg i.v.) to facilitate endotracheal intubation, with the infusion of the drugs according to groups of patients were chosen. Maintenance; boluses of cisatracurium to provide optimal surgical conditions and sevoflurane2-4%, inhalation based on BIS value between 50% and 60%, to maintain mean arterial pressure (MAP) within 20% of the baseline value, the ventilation was adjusted with a volume-controlled mode ventilation, respiratory rate adjusted according to Et CO$_2$ to range between 35-45 mmHg, a tidal volume of 8-10 ml/kg and mixture of gases in proportion 50% oxygen and 50% air, with PEEP 5 cm H$_2$O with using a closed circle system with a total fresh gas flow rate of 3L/min. After removal of the gallbladder, continuous IV infusions in both groups were stopped. After suturing of the skin local infiltration of skin incision with 0.25% bupivacaine at the end of the operation (Sunny pharmaceutical- Egypt).

**The technique of laparoscopic cholecystectomy**

General anesthesia was given to all the patients with routine premedication. The patient was placed in a reverse Trendelenburg position and rotate the operating table with the left side down which improves diaphragmatic function and respiratory status. During laparoscopy intra-abdominal pressure was adjusted to 12 mmHg by continuous CO$_2$ insufflation. Pneumoperitoneum was created and gall bladder was dissected out from its bed by blunt and sharp dissection and was removed from the subcostal port. All patients coming to the Surgical Out-Patient Department with benign Gall Bladder disease were admitted to the surgical ward 2 days before the laparoscopic cholecystectomy. For all patients undergoing laparoscopic Cholecystectomy following preoperative preparation was done including history, clinical examination including airway assessment, complete laboratory investigations, abdominal ultrasonography, X-ray chest, ECG.
till the end of surgery and 2 hours postoperative, Postoperative VAS score for pain assessment, after 0h, 2hs, 4hs, 8hs, 12hs, and 24hs, Total pethidine consumption in 24h postoperative: 0.5mg/kg IM guided by VAS scores. Postoperative complications as, nausea, vomiting, bradycardia, hypoxia, and shivering.

Sample size was calculated using PASS program for sample size version 11 and according to a previous study done by Mulier et al. in 2018, who reported that the mean VAS score in cases with opioid was 3.3 ± 1.2 while in cases without opioid was found 2.3 ± 0.8 and by adjusting the confidence interval to 95%; power of the test to 90% and the ratio between the two studied groups to 1:1; the total sample size needed for this study was found to be 44 cases divided equally into two groups each group 22 cases.

Data were collected, revised, coded and entered to the Statistical Package for Social Science (IBM Corp. Released 2015. IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows, Armonk, NY: IBM Corp) version 23. The quantitative data were presented in the form of mean, standard deviations and ranges when parametric, median with inter-quartile range (IQR) when non-parametric and numbers with percentages for qualitative data. The comparison between two groups regarding quantitative data with parametric distribution was done by using Independent t-test while with non-parametric distribution was done by using Mann-Whitney test while the comparison between two groups regarding qualitative data were done by using Chi-square test. The confidence interval was set to 95% and the margin of error accepted was set to 5%. So, the p-value was considered significant at p ≤ 0.05 considered significant-value <0.001 was considered as highly significant-value >0.05 was considered non-significant.

RESULTS

AS regards Demographic data: (age, sex, BMI, ASA, duration of surgery; there was no significant difference between two studied groups (table 1).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Group I</th>
<th>Group II</th>
<th>Test value</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (Years)</td>
<td>45.32 ± 12.5</td>
<td>46.35 ± 10.28</td>
<td>0.318&quot;</td>
<td>0.752</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Males</td>
<td>15 (60.0%)</td>
<td>17 (68.0%)</td>
<td>0.347&quot;</td>
<td>0.555</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Females</td>
<td>10 (40.0%)</td>
<td>8 (32.0)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (Kg/m²)</td>
<td>30.2 ± 5.32</td>
<td>32.1 ± 7.65</td>
<td>1.020&quot;</td>
<td>0.313</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>18 (72.0%)</td>
<td>17 (68.0%)</td>
<td>0.095&quot;</td>
<td>0.757</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II</td>
<td>7 (28.0%)</td>
<td>8 (32.0)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration of surgery (min)</td>
<td>75.03 ± 12.54</td>
<td>73.03 ± 10.35</td>
<td>0.615</td>
<td>0.541</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: Demographic data between two studied groups (mean ±SD) •: Independent t-test; ¸: Chi-square test

AS regards perioperative hemodynamic changes: HR & MAP; there was a statistically significant decrease in HR and MAP in group II post-induction, after pneumoperitoneum all operative time until 1 hr postoperative (Figure 1, 2).

AS regards postoperative VAS score for pain assessment, after 0h, 2hs, 4hs, 8hs, 12hs, and 24hs: there was a statistically significant decrease in VAS score in group II (table 2).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Group I</th>
<th>Group II</th>
<th>Test value</th>
<th>P-value</th>
<th>Sig.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0 hr postop.</td>
<td>4 (2 – 7)</td>
<td>2 (1 – 3)</td>
<td>3.670</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>HS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 hr postop.</td>
<td>5 (1 – 8)</td>
<td>3 (1 – 5)</td>
<td>5.291</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>HS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 hrs postop.</td>
<td>3 (2 – 7)</td>
<td>2 (1 – 6)</td>
<td>2.070</td>
<td>0.044</td>
<td>S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 hrs postop.</td>
<td>3 (1 – 5)</td>
<td>2 (1 – 4)</td>
<td>2.318</td>
<td>0.025</td>
<td>S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12 hrs postop.</td>
<td>2 (2 – 5)</td>
<td>1 (1 – 2)</td>
<td>2.273</td>
<td>0.027</td>
<td>S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24 hrs postop.</td>
<td>2 (1 – 4)</td>
<td>1 (1 – 3)</td>
<td>4.428</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>HS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Comparison of postoperative VAS score for pain assessment in 24h between both studied groups (median value). •: Mann-Whitney test.

AS regards total pethidine consumption in 24h postoperative: 0.5mg/kg IM guided by VAS scores there were a statistically significant decrease in pethidine consumption in group II (table 3).
Perform three-port LC. This technique of laparoscopic surgery made it possible to care and cost-effectiveness. Improvements in the manipulation of the gallbladder using one grasping forceps, three-port LC was successfully performed in 46 patients. We experienced no major postoperative complications, such as bile leakage or bleeding. There were statistically significant decrease in HR and MAP in group II post-induction, after pneumoperitoneum than fentanyl group after intubation, after pneumoperitoneum, until 60 min after induction, when studied on 80 patients scheduled for elective laparoscopic cholecystectomy. The current study shows; there was a statistically significant decrease in HR and MAP in group II post-induction, after pneumoperitoneum all operative time until 1hur post-operative. The same results were documented by Gaszynski et al. when studied on 42 patients undergoing bariatric surgery divided into either an OFA group using dexmedetomidine or a fentanyl-based anesthetic group and they found a significant decrease in HR and MAP in OFA group. Also Shalaby et al. found that there were significant decrease in HR and MAP in dexmedetomidine OFA group than fentanyl group after intubation, after pneumoperitoneum, until 60 min after induction, when studied on 80 patients scheduled for elective laparoscopic cholecystectomy. On the other hand, Jan et al. showed there were no differences in HR and MAP intraoperative between OFA and opioid-based anesthesia (OA) groups when they measured quality of recovery on 50 Patients undergoing elective laparoscopic bariatric surgery. They give the patient 0.5 mcg/ kg sufentanil before induction, OA group, and 0.5 mcg/kg dexmedetomidine, 0.25 mg/kg ketamine, and 1.5 mg/kg lidocaine in OFA group. This confliction may due to the sufentanil has more myocardial stability and more potent than fentanyl. The current study shows a statistically significant decrease in VAS in group II at 0h, 2hs, 4hs, 8hs, 12hs and 24 postoperative. Kataria et al. showed a significant decrease in VAS score in the OFA group when studied on the efficacy of dexmedetomidine versus fentanyl on the pressor response and pneumoperitoneum in laparoscopic cholecystectomy. The results of doing by Shalaby et al. documented that there was a significant decrease in OFA group regarding VAS scores at 20, 60 minutes and 6 hours postoperatively than the fentanyl group.

### DISCUSSION

In the era of laparoscopic surgery, less postoperative pain and early recovery are major goals to achieve better patient care and cost-effectiveness. Improvements in the technology of laparoscopic surgery made it possible to perform three-port LC. This technique was slightly difficult to perform in long gallbladders with a long peritoneal fold. This was because the fundus of the long gallbladder repeatedly fell towards the area of dissection in Calot's triangle. When we encountered difficulty during the three-port technique (due to adhesion), we immediately inserted the fourth port to delineate the anatomy of Calot's triangle and improve the dissection. Intraperitoneal infusion of Lidocaine decreased demand for opioids, reduced PONV, rapid return function of the intestine and a shortening of hospital stay.

Magnesium sulfate is an N-methyl D-aspartate (NMDA) antagonist receptors, preventing the entry of calcium and sodium into the cell and thereby avoid the transmission of pain. Using magnesium in the intra-operative period leads to reduce the pain, PONV. Ketamine is also an NMDA antagonist acting by blocking the release of potassium from the cell and thus prevents the transmission of painful impulses. Preemptive giving of ketamine has shown an opioid-sparing effect in the intra-operative period and decreased the need for opioids in the postoperative period. Dexamethasone is a corticosteroid that has antiemetic and anti-inflammatory effects on the wound with less edema leads to less pain.

Pneumoperitoneum elevated systemic vascular resistance, mean arterial pressure, cardiac filling pressure and decrease in the cardiac index also, CO₂ insufflation leads to peritoneal absorbed it producing hypercarbia, which stimulates the sym pathetic nervous system and thus increases BP, HR, decreased myocardial contractility and lowers arrhythmia threshold.

The current study shows; there was a statistically significant decrease in HR and MAP in group II post-induction, after pneumoperitoneum all operative time until 1hur post-operative. The same results were documented by Gaszynski et al. when studied on 42 patients undergoing bariatric surgery divided into either an OFA group using dexmedetomidine or a fentanyl-based anesthetic group and they found a significant decrease in HR and MAP in OFA group. Also Shalaby et al. found that there were significant decrease in HR and MAP in dexmedetomidine OFA group than fentanyl group after intubation, after pneumoperitoneum, until 60 min after induction, when studied on 80 patients scheduled for elective laparoscopic cholecystectomy. On the other hand, Jan et al. showed there were no differences in HR and MAP intraoperative between OFA and opioid-based anesthesia (OA) groups when they measured quality of recovery on 50 Patients undergoing elective laparoscopic bariatric surgery. They give the patient 0.5 mcg/ kg sufentanil before induction, OA group, and 0.5 mcg/kg dexmedetomidine, 0.25 mg/kg ketamine, and 1.5 mg/kg lidocaine in OFA group. This confliction may due to the sufentanil has more myocardial stability and more potent than fentanyl. The current study shows a statistically significant decrease in VAS in group II at 0h, 2hs, 4hs, 8hs, 12hs and 24 postoperative. Kataria et al. showed a significant decrease in VAS score in the OFA group when studied on the efficacy of dexmedetomidine versus fentanyl on the pressor response and pneumoperitoneum in laparoscopic cholecystectomy. The results of doing by Shalaby et al. documented that there was a significant decrease in OFA group regarding VAS scores at 20, 60 minutes and 6 hours postoperatively than the fentanyl group. A study by Toleska and Dimitrovo, showed that the OFA group statistically significant decrease VAS score at first hour.

### Table 3: Comparison of postoperative total pethidine (mg) consumption in 24h between both studied groups mean (±SD) & (No of patients and %) P-value ≤ 0.001; highly significant. *: Chi-square test

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Group I 25</th>
<th>GroupII 25</th>
<th>Test value</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total Pethidine(mg) consumption in 24 hrs</td>
<td>1300.85 ± 123.5</td>
<td>450.32 ±50.32</td>
<td>31.889 *</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of patients needs narcotics and %</td>
<td>17 (68.0%)</td>
<td>5 (20.0%)</td>
<td>11.688 *</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 4: Comparison of complications developed in PACU

|                  | Group I \
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nausea</td>
<td>15 (60.0%)</td>
<td>4 (16.0%)</td>
<td>10.272</td>
<td>0.001**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vomiting</td>
<td>6 (24.0%)</td>
<td>1 (4.0%)</td>
<td>4.153</td>
<td>0.041*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bradycardia</td>
<td>1 (4.0%)</td>
<td>0 (0.0%)</td>
<td>1.020</td>
<td>0.312</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
and 24 hours after the surgery than the Fentanyl group, also low VAS score in OFA group with the non-significant difference between two groups at other time postoperative. The current study shows there was a statistically significant decrease in pethidine consumption in 24h postoperative in group II compared to group I.

Samuels et al. found in a retrospective analysis that the OFA group needed 50 % fewer opioids in postoperative although non-opioid anesthesia did not make any difference. his observation suggests that even exposure to small amounts of opioids intraoperatively increases the need for opioids in the postoperative period.

Toleska and Dimitrovski found that the opioids needed in the postoperative period were significantly lesser in the OFA group at rest and coughing, compared to the opioid group. On the other hand, Ziemann-Gimmel found no difference in opioid consumption post-operative for the same VAS scores but did not explain what the post-operative period was compared. The current study shows there was a statistically significant decrease in numbers of patients who developed nausea and vomiting in group II, while no statistically significant difference between both groups by bradycardia. Also, Ziemann-Gimmel found a significant reduction in PONV in the OFA group even when triple PONV prophylaxis was given to both groups. On the other hand, the study done by Mansour et al. on 28 obese patients undergoing laparoscopic sleeve gastrectomy found that there were no statistically significant changes among the OFA& OA groups as regard to postoperative complications in PACU.

This may be because all patients received Ranitidine 50 mg as an H2 receptor antagonist, Metoclopramide and dexamethasone as PONV prophylaxis. Some surgeons have expressed concerns about the safety of the three-port technique, arguing that it may lead to a higher percentage of the bile duct injuries. However, bile duct injury can be avoided if the gallbladder is gripped at the infundibulum, retracted laterally and dissected at the infundibulum-cystic duct junction rather than cystic duct-common bile duct junction.

During dissection, an attempt should be made to cut the peritoneum overlying the cystic duct, infundibulum, and body of the gallbladder to relax the gallbladder; the posterior aspect of the cystic duct is then dissected first, before the anterior aspect. This technique enables the surgeon to clip the cystic duct without fear of injury to the bile duct. Several studies demonstrated that less postoperative pain was associated with a reduction in either size or number of ports. The cost of the 3port technique was lower, apparently due to the use of fewer surgical ports and related instruments. Also, cosmetic results may have a positive effect, especially in young female patients.

CONCLUSION

OFA is better than fentanyl for patients who undergo elective laparoscopic cholecystectomy due to perioperative hemodynamic stability, decrease dosages of postoperative analgesics, and decrease PONV. Also, three-port LC is safe and technically feasible when performed by experienced surgeons.
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