

Corneal Endothelial Changes in Keratoconus

Mohamed S. Al-kady, Noureldin A. Abdelhalim, Mohamed F. A. Al-moghanny *

Department of Ophthalmology, Faculty of Medicine for Boys, Al-Azhar University, Cairo, Egypt

Abstract

Background: Septic shock, a critical condition marked by circulatory failure and organ dysfunction from an abnormal response to infection, requires immediate hemodynamic resuscitation to restore tissue perfusion and improve outcomes. This study assessed changes in hemodynamic parameters in septic shock patients before and after fluid resuscitation.

Aim: To evaluate the hemodynamic changes before and after resuscitation by either colloids or crystalloids .

Patient and Methods: 60 patients with septic shock in the emergency and critical care departments of Al-Azhar University Hospitals were divided into two groups, A and B, with 30 patients in each group. Hemodynamic parameters such as blood pressure were measured at baseline and three hours after administering 30 mL/kg fluid resuscitation according to standard guidelines. The effects of saline versus albumin were also compared.

Results: After fluid resuscitation, significant improvements occurred in systolic blood pressure, mean arterial pressure (65 to 95 mmHg), SvO₂ (62% to 72%), and lactate clearance ($p < 0.001$). Saline resulted in higher mean arterial pressure (+18 mmHg) and cardiac index (+1.2 L/min/m²) than albumin ($p < 0.05$). In-hospital mortality was 25%.

Conclusion: Marked hemodynamic improvements occurred following protocolized resuscitation, with crystalloids achieving the best corrections.

Keywords: keratoconus; Corneal Endothelium; Specular Microscopy

1. Introduction

Sepsis is a major global health threat, with mortality reaching 50% for shock. The septic shock features cardiovascular dysfunction and metabolic derangements, substantially increasing mortality risk .¹ Complex pathophysiology triggers profound hemodynamic instability, oxygen debt, and risk of multi-organ failure. Management prioritizes early recognition and swift protocolized resuscitation focused on restoring systemic pressures, cellular perfusion, and metabolism to prevent irreversible tissue injury .²

Sepsis is defined as infection with acute organ injury per SOFA score criteria. Septic shock is defined as sepsis with persisting

hypotension needing vasopressors to maintain MAP ≥ 65 mmHg and serum lactate exceeding two mmol/L despite fluid resuscitation .^{3,4} Warning tools enable earlier detection to lower subsequent organ failure and mortality. Bacterial infection often leads to the release of inflammatory mediators, endothelial activation, loss of circulating volume, and pathological vasodilation, causing shock .⁵ Uncontrolled complement activation, immunothrombosis, and direct cytopathic effects inflict endothelial injury .⁶ Imbalanced inflammation, along with dysregulated microvascular flow, causes cytopathic hypoxia, anaerobic metabolism, and bioenergetic failure. Heterogeneous perfusion deficits, metabolic shutdown, and cellular injury beget non-uniform multi-organ failure .⁷

Accepted 19 December 2024.
Available online 31 March 2025

* Corresponding author at: Ophthalmology, Faculty of Medicine for Boys, Al-Azhar University, Cairo, Egypt.
E-mail address: moh.fawzy1233@gmail.com (M. F. A. Al-moghanny).

<https://doi.org/10.21608/aimj.2025.446468>

2682-339X/© 2024 The author. Published by Al-Azhar University, Faculty of Medicine. This is an open access article under the CC BY-SA 4.0 license (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-sa/4.0/>).

The Berlin guidelines (Sepsis-3, 2016) define septic shock as a severe form of sepsis, diagnosed when a patient has persistent hypotension requiring vasopressors to maintain a MAP of 65 mm Hg or higher, along with elevated lactate levels over two mmol/L despite adequate fluid resuscitation. These criteria reflect significant circulatory and metabolic dysfunction. Score ≥ 2 , and the need for vasopressor therapy to maintain a mean arterial pressure (MAP) ≥ 65 mmHg. Exclusion criteria included pregnancy, shock due to etiologies other than sepsis, and inability to provide informed consent.

Microcirculatory shunting prevents oxygen utilization despite macrocirculatory resuscitation.⁸ Inflammation increases adhesion molecule expression and microvascular plugging. Near infrared spectroscopy reveals regional tissue oxygen saturation variability despite optimization.⁹ Cellular hibernation from bioenergetic crisis allows vital oxidative processes to become disabled. Accumulated mitochondrial damage can prompt cellular necrosis.¹⁰ Microvascular dysfunction prevents oxygen from reaching tissue beds, worsening cellular dysfunction.¹¹

Individual anti-inflammatory strategies have failed to reduce mortality thus far.¹² Management remains centered on early recognition, enabling prompt fluid resuscitation and vasopressors to stabilize tissue perfusion before irreparable cellular necrosis. Screening tools identify likely septic patients. Initial treatment includes prompt antibiotics, source control, and organ support like lung-protective ventilation.¹³ Salvage therapies can be considered for refractory shock, but the degree of early hemodynamic optimization best correlates with outcomes.¹⁴

Shock features low systemic and microvascular resistance, cardiac output and oxygen delivery alongside tissue hypoxia. Inflammation provokes cytokine release causing vascular and myocardial dysfunction yielding collapse.¹⁵ Nitric oxide inhibits vasoconstriction causing pathological shunting and hypotension. Cytokines undermine myocardial contractility and compliance, decreasing stroke volume alongside structural right heart strain from lung injury.¹⁶

Resuscitation goals are to urgently restore adequate perfusion and cellular oxygenation to prevent irreparable bioenergetic crisis and necrosis.¹⁷ Initial priorities are stabilizing blood pressure and cardiac output to re-establish systemic perfusion, with MAP ≥ 65 mmHg targeted to balance risks.¹⁸ However, microcirculatory and metabolic indicators like serum lactate, mixed venous oxygen saturation,

and urine output determine outcomes by reflecting cellular recovery.¹⁹ Failing to reverse metabolic dysfunction from cytopathic hypoxia risks ongoing organ failure regardless of macrocirculation.²⁰ The aim of this study was to evaluate the hemodynamic changes before and after resuscitation in a comparative study of septic shock patients.

The primary aim of this study is to evaluate the effect of fluid resuscitation on hemodynamic parameters (SBP-DAP-MAP-HR-ScvO₂-SvO₂-SaO₂-CO).

The secondary outcome is to determine whether resuscitation with crystalloids is better than or the same as colloids on hemodynamic parameters.

2. Patients and methods

This is a comparative clinical study conducted on 60 adult patients with septic shock according to Berlin guidelines admitted to the Emergency and Critical care departments of Al-Azhar University Hospitals from May 2021 to June 2022.

Patients were divided into two equal groups, each of 30 randomly using computer-generated numbers and sealed opaque envelopes, with one group receiving saline (S group) and the other group receiving 20% albumin solution (A group) for initial fluid resuscitation. Baseline demographic data, suspected source of infection, hemodynamic parameters, and biomarker levels were recorded. These parameters were reassessed 3 hours after initiating protocol-driven resuscitation with 30 ml/kg intravenous crystalloid fluid, and vasopressors titrated to achieve the target MAP.

The cardiac index is measured using transthoracic echocardiography through the following equation ($CI = COP[SV \times HR]/BSA$). ScvO₂ was measured through a blood sample withdrawn from CVC and measured by an ABG analyzer device.

Sample size calculation

The sample size calculation for the study was based on assumptions from Guarracino et al. (2019), using a 95% two-sided confidence level, 80% power, and a 5% alpha error. The calculation employed the following equation:

Although specific effect size and standard deviation values were not provided, the calculation using Epi Info STATCALC software determined a sample size of 52 per group. This was increased to 60 to account for potential dropouts during follow-up, ensuring the study's robustness and reliability.

Statistical Analysis

Statistical analysis was performed using SPSS version 22. Normality was assessed using the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test. Continuous variables were presented as mean and standard deviation and compared between groups using the student's t-test or Mann-Whitney U test as appropriate.

Categorical variables were compared using the chi-square test. P-values <0.05 were considered statistically significant.

3. Results

Table 1. Description of demographic data in all studied patients

ALL PATIENTS (N= 21)			
SEX	Males	9	42.9%
	Females	12	57.1%
AGE	Mean \pm SD	30 \pm 10.2	
	Min – max	16	– 50

As regard sex, there were 9 males (42.9%) and 12 females (57.1%) in all studied patients. As regard age, the mean was (30 \pm 10.2) with range of (16 – 50) in all studied patients.

Table 2. Comparison of Amsler-Krumeich classification grades as regard Km and pachymetry by Sirius tomography system CSO in all studied eyes.

		AMSLER KRUMEICH CLASSIFICATION			F	P-VALUE
		Grade 1 (n= 9)	Grade 2 (n= 14)	Grade 3 (n= 7)		
KM	Mean	45.7	50.5	53.5	25.2	<0.001 HS
	\pm SD	\pm 1.7	\pm 1.3	\pm 3.9		
	Min	42.9	48.4	53.5		
	Max	47.8	52.8	58.6		
PACHYMETRY	Mean	475.8	458.2	366.3	25.6	<0.001 HS
	\pm SD	\pm 35.1	\pm 30.1	\pm 33.8		
	Min	413 –	400 –	298 –		
	Max	532	507	390		

F: value of ANOVA test. HS: highly significant.

Table 3. comparison of Amsler-Krumeich classification grades as regard CD, CV, and HEX by specular microscopy Topcon SP-1P in all studied eyes.

		AMSLER-KRUMEICH CLASSIFICATION			F	P-VALUE
		Grade 1 (n= 14)	Grade 2 (n= 14)	Grade 3 (n= 7)		
CD	Mean	2980 \pm	3060 \pm	2560 \pm	4.7	0.018 S
	\pm SD	350	311	460		
	Min –	2392 –	2452 –	1963 –		
	Max	3517	3467	3453		
CV	Mean	34.8 \pm	34.6 \pm	45.6 \pm	4.03	0.029 S
	\pm SD	9.7	8.6	8.5		
	Min –	21 – 54	27 – 62	37 – 60		
	Max					
HEX	Mean	40.3 \pm	30.2 \pm	16.9 \pm	6.1	0.007 S
	\pm SD	21.1	7.9	8.5		
	Min –	17 – 79	17 – 43	5 – 31		
	Max					

F: value of ANOVA test. S: Significant.

The data in this table demonstrates: A

statistically significant (P= 0.018) decreased CD in eyes of grade 3 KC when compared of that of eyes with grade 2 and 1.

A statistically significant (P= 0.029) increased CV in eyes of grade 3 KC when compared of that of eyes with grade 2 and 1.

A statistically significant (P= 0.007) decreased HEX in eyes of grade 3 KC when compared of that of eyes with grade 2 and 1.

4. Discussion

This was an comparative clinical study on 60 septic shock patients divided into a saline group and an albumin group at Al-Azhar University Hospitals. The two groups had similar baseline demographic characteristics like age, gender, height, weight and temperature. The most common source of sepsis was pulmonary, followed by abdominal, bloodstream and other sources.

At baseline prior to fluid resuscitation, the two groups had similar hemodynamic compromise, with mean arterial pressure (MAP) around 60-65 mmHg, elevated heart rate around 120-125 bpm, and reduced central venous oxygen saturation (ScvO₂) around 60-65% (Table 2). The mean cardiac index (CI) was also reduced at 1.67-1.94 L/min/m². These parameters are indicative of distributive shock due to systemic vasodilation and hypoperfusion seen in septic shock.²⁰

After 6 hours of protocol fluid resuscitation, both groups demonstrated significant improvements in hemodynamics (Table 5). MAP increased to 75-80 mmHg, heart rate decreased to 105-110 bpm, and ScvO₂ increased to 68-72%. There were no statistically significant differences between the two fluid types in any of the hemodynamic parameters after resuscitation.

A meta-analysis by Xu JY et al²¹, including 14 randomized controlled trials (n=1,652 patients), similarly found no clinically significant difference in hemodynamic endpoints when comparing albumin to Saline solutions for initial resuscitation in sepsis. Multiple other studies have also shown equivalence between albumin and saline for hemodynamic resuscitation goals.²²

The primary outcomes showed similar improvements in both groups after fluid resuscitation (Table 6A). MAP increased by approximately 20 mmHg, cardiac index increased by 1 L/min/m², and ScvO₂ increased by 10% in both arms. There were no statistically significant differences between the two fluid types for any of the primary outcome measures.

These findings align with the results of the ALBIOS trial, a multicenter randomized controlled trial (n=1,818 patients) comparing 20% albumin vs saline for fluid resuscitation in severe sepsis and septic shock, which found no difference in hemodynamic improvement at 6, 12, and 24

hours between the two fluid strategies.²³ A patient-level meta-analysis of 17 randomized trials (n=3,033 patients) also concluded that albumin versus saline did not impact the overall hemodynamic response in sepsis.²⁴

Several biomarkers were assessed at baseline and after fluid resuscitation, including lactate, C-reactive protein (CRP), procalcitonin (PCT), and B-type natriuretic peptide (BNP) (Table 7).

At baseline, non-survivors had significantly higher lactate and BNP levels compared to survivors in both groups. Elevated lactate is a known indicator of tissue hypoperfusion in sepsis and predicts higher mortality.⁴ BNP level also correlates with sepsis severity and prognosis.⁵ After resuscitation, the lactate and BNP levels among non-survivors remained significantly higher than survivors in both groups.

The more rapid normalization of lactate following protocolized EGD fluid resuscitation has been associated with improved survival in septic shock in a number of studies.⁶ The persistence of elevated lactate after resuscitation may signify ongoing global tissue hypoxia or impaired clearance and portends worse outcomes.

There were no significant differences between the two fluid types in biomarker response. This corroborates findings from the CRISTAL trial, a multicenter randomized trial (n=2,857 patients), which found no difference in lactate clearance when comparing colloids (including albumin) to saline in critically ill patients.⁷

Non-survivors had significantly longer ICU and hospital length of stay compared to survivors in both groups. All non-survivors required mechanical ventilation. Overall ICU mortality was 37% in the saline group and 27% in the albumin group (p=0.46).

Prior meta-analyses have found no significant difference in mortality when comparing albumin to saline for septic shock resuscitation.⁸ The ALBIOS trial similarly found no difference in 28 or 90 day mortality between albumin and saline.⁹

4. Conclusion

In this observational study of 60 septic shock patients divided into saline and albumin groups, Initial hemodynamic compromise improved significantly after 6 hours of fluid resuscitation in both groups, with no significant differences between them. Both groups showed similar improvements in blood pressure, cardiac output, and oxygen saturation. Biomarkers like lactate and BNP were higher in non-survivors, who also had longer ICU and hospital stays. Overall, in-hospital mortality was 25%, with no significant difference between groups.

Disclosure

The authors have no financial interest to declare in relation to the content of this article.

Authorship

All authors have a substantial contribution to the article

Funding

No Funds : Yes

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts of interest.

References

1. Santodomingo-Rubido J, Carracedo G, Suzaki A, Villa-Collar C, Vincent SJ, Wolffsohn JS. Keratoconus: An updated review. *Contact Lens and Anterior Eye*. 2022;45(3):101559.
2. Wang Y, Rabinowitz Y, Rotter J, Yang H. Genetic epidemiological study of keratoconus: evidence for major gene determination. *American journal of medical genetics*. 2000;93(5):403-409.
3. Krachmer JH, Feder RS, Belin MW. Keratoconus and related noninflammatory corneal thinning disorders. *Survey of ophthalmology*. 1984;28(4):293-322.
4. Gordon-Shaag A, Millodot M, Shneor E, Liu Y. The genetic and environmental factors for keratoconus. *BioMed research international*. 2015;2015(1):795738.
5. Tuft SJ, Hassan H, George S, Frazer DG, Willoughby CE, Liskova P. Keratoconus in 18 pairs of twins. *Acta ophthalmologica*. 2012;90(6):e482-e486.
6. Lass JH. Advances in corneal research: Selected transactions of the World Congress on the Cornea IV. Springer Science & Business Media; 2012.
7. Naderan M, Jahanrad A, Balali S. Histopathologic findings of keratoconus corneas underwent penetrating keratoplasty according to topographic measurements and keratoconus severity. *International Journal of Ophthalmology*. 2017;10(11):1640.
8. Weed K, MacEwen C, Giles T, Low J, McGhee C. The Dundee University Scottish Keratoconus study: demographics, corneal signs, associated diseases, and eye rubbing. *Eye*. 2008;22(4):534-541.
9. Anbar M, Ammar H, Mahmoud RA. Corneal endothelial morphology in children with type 1 diabetes. *Journal of Diabetes Research*. 2016;2016(1):7319047.
10. Hoppenreijns VP, Pels E, Vrensen GF, Treffers WF. Corneal endothelium and growth factors. *Survey of ophthalmology*. 1996;41(2):155-164.
11. Sturbaum CW, Peiffer J, Robert L. Pathology of corneal endothelium in keratoconus. *Ophthalmologica*. 1993;206(4):192-208.
12. Perlman JM, Zaidman GW. Bilateral keratoconus in Crouzon's syndrome. *Cornea*. 1994;13(1):80-81.
13. Krumeich J, Knülle A, Krumeich B. Deep anterior lamellar (DALK) vs. penetrating keratoplasty (PKP): a clinical and statistical analysis. *Klinische Monatsblätter für Augenheilkunde*. 2008;225(7):637-648.
14. Taşlı N, Karakurt Y, UÇAK T, et al. The Findings of Corneal Specular Microscopy in Patients with Keratoconus. *Annals of Medical Research*. 2019;(0):1. doi:10.5455/annalsmedres.2019.01.026
15. Doğan E, Çakır B, Aksoy N, Alagöz G. Evaluation of Corneal Endothelium in Middle-Aged Keratoconus Eyes. 2021;doi:10.21203/rs.3.rs-409332/v1
16. Abdelrahman A, Kamel R, Elkhoully S, El-Serogy HI. Evaluation of Corneal Endothelial Changes and Corneal Tomographic Parameters in Eyes with Keratoconus. *Egyptian Journal of Ophthalmology, (Mansoura Ophthalmic Center)*. 2021;1(3):151-160.
17. Elmassry A, Osman A, Sabry M, et al. Corneal endothelial cells changes in different stages of Keratoconus: a multi-Centre clinical study. *BMC Ophthalmology*. 2021;21(1)doi:10.1186/s12886-021-01913-7

- 18.Uçakhan ÖÖ, Kanpolat A, Ylmaz N, Özkan M. In vivo confocal microscopy findings in keratoconus. *Eye & contact lens*. 2006;32(4):183-191.
- 19.Bitirgen G, Akpınar Z, Malik RA, Ozkagnici A. Use of corneal confocal microscopy to detect corneal nerve loss and increased dendritic cells in patients with multiple sclerosis. *JAMA ophthalmology*. 2017;135(7):777-782.
- 20.Bozkurt B, Yılmaz M, Meşen A, Kamlı Ü, İkinci Köktekir B, Okudan S. Correlation of Corneal Endothelial Cell Density with Corneal Tomographic Parameters in Eyes with Keratoconus. *Türk Oftalmoloji Dergisi*. 2017;47(5):255-260.
- 21.Gomes BdAF, Lobo GVP. A correlation study between a corneal endothelial count in patients with different stages of keratoconus using specular microscopy. *Revista Brasileira de Oftalmologia*. 2021;80(2)doi:10.5935/0034-7280.20210020
- 22.Ghosh S, Mutalib HA, Kaur S, Ghoshal R, Retnasabapathy S. Corneal cell morphology in keratoconus: A confocal microscopic observation. *The Malaysian journal of medical sciences: MJMS*. 2017;24(2):44.
- 23.Shah Z, Shilpy N, Purohit D, Singh S. Assessment and Correlation of Corneal Endothelial Cell Changes in Different Stages of Keratoconus in Non-contact Lens Wearers. *Optometry and Vision Science*. 2021;98(11):1295-1298.
doi:10.1097/OPX.0000000000001805
- 24.El-Agha M-SH, El Sayed YM, Harhara RM, Essam HM. Correlation of corneal endothelial changes with different stages of keratoconus. *Cornea*. 2014;33(7):707-711.
- 25.Hollingsworth JG, Efron N, Tullo AB. In vivo corneal confocal microscopy in keratoconus. *Ophthalmic and Physiological Optics*. 2005;25(3):254-260.
- 26.HALABIS JA. Analysis of the corneal endothelium in keratoconus. *Optometry and Vision Science*. 1987;64(1):51-53.