Role of Interventional Radiology in Management of Chronic Shoulder Pain
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ABSTRACT

Background: Shoulder pain is a frequent musculoskeletal condition in the overall population, with incidence of 6.7 – 66.7 percent. Chronic shoulder pain is caused by several etiologies: from rotator cuff disease to peripheral nerve entrapment. Advancement in ultrasound (US) technologies enables static and dynamic assessment and guiding on treatment modalities. Obviously, there is a greater safety range for intervention approaches under US guideline. Depending on the intended location, doctors may simply pick the needle insertion procedure and so prevent injuries to the surrounding structures. It is vital to realise that US guidance intervention should not be assumed to be an appropriate target alone; they provide full guidance on therapy beginning with clinical practice.

Distension of the glenohumeral joint by fluid, which can decrease pain by widening the range of motion and damage the joint capsule and can decrease the intraarticular inflammation by intraarticular steroid injection. Intraarticular distension has been demonstrated to enhance short-term pain and function, although this effect is sustained up to 3 months, and the outcome of cases with diabetes is less than that of nondiabetics. The most beneficial strategy is intra-articular steroid injection which leads to a quick decrease in pain and an enhancement in the mobility and capacity to do physical treatment.

Aim of the work: to estimate the interventional radiology role in management of chronic shoulder pain.

Patients and methods: This prospective study included (60) patients with chronic shoulder pain. Regional injection had been done guided by ultrasound, fluoroscopy &/or CT for e.g: hydrodilatation of the gleno-humeral capsule, hydro-dissection of the subacromial bursa, neurolysis of suprascapular & subaxillary nerves.

Results: Full technique has a significant higher improvement in VAS, ROM and SPADI than partial technique. High volume injection has a significant higher improvement in VAS, ROM and SPADI than Low volume injection. Intra-articular injection has a significant higher improvement in VAS, ROM and SPADI than Extra-articular injection.

Conclusion: We can conclude that interventional radiology has an effective role in chronic shoulder pain treatment.
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**Inclusion criteria:**
Any patients with chronic shoulder pain, limitation / restricted of movements of the shoulder joint, patient cannot lie on the shoulder due to pain & who are showing capsic tendonitis.

**Exclusion criteria:**
- Patients with poor general and mental condition.
- Local infection.
- Recurrent shoulder dislocation
- Autoimmune inflammatory diseases.
- Pregnancy (when using Fluoroscopy guided injection).
- Patients refuse to participate
- Malignancy.

**Methods:**
All patients subjected to the following:
- Full history taking and pre-procedure diagnostic MRI examination.
- Musculoskeletal assessment (visual analogue scale and range of movement) of shoulder joint before the injection, immediately after, during the first 24 h and after 2 weeks (2).
- Injection of the shoulder could be part of the treatment of the shoulder pain, especially if the pain is more than what patient can handle, shoulder pain will probably hinder the progress of the therapy.
- The injection could be done by posterior, lateral, or anterior approaches.
- Regional injection had been done guided by ultrasound, fluoroscopy &/or CT for e.g: hydrodilatation of the gleno-humeral capsule, hydro-dissection of the subacromial bursa, neurolysis of suprascapular & subaxillary nerves.

**Statistical Analysis of Data:**
The collected data organized, tabulated and statistically analyzed using statistical package for social sciences (SPSS) version 22 (SPSS Inc, Chicago, USA). For qualitative data, frequency and percent distributions was calculated. For quantitative data, mean, standard Error (SE), minimum and maximum was calculated. Statistical significance was defined as P value < 0.05.

**The following tests were done:**
Chi-square test: For categorical variables, to compare between different groups.
The one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA): is used to determine whether there are any statistically significant differences between the means of data at basal, immediately, 1st 24 hour and 2 weeks postinjection.

**RESULTS**
This study included 60 patients with chronic shoulder pain, the majority were males 34 (56.7%). Their mean of age was 65.17 year.

Considering diagnosis, 25 (41.7%) patients diagnosed as frozen shoulder, 20 (33.3%) Subacromial bursitis and 15 (25%) as Bicepital tenosynovitis. Regarding Radiological interventions, patients with frozen shoulder treated by partial technique 10 (16.7%) and full technique 15 (25%), patients with Subacromial bursitis treated by Low volume injection 5 (8.3%) and high volume injection 15 (25%) while in patients with Bicepital tenosynovitis treated by Extra-articular injection 5 (8.3%) and intra-articular injection 10 (16.7%) (Table 1).

There is a significant difference between basal, immediately, 1st 24 hr and 2 weeks VAS after injection at movement, sleep and total mean score while there is no significant difference between basal, immediately, 1st 24 hr and 2 weeks VAS after injection at rest (Table 2).

Full technique has significantly higher improvement in VAS, ROM and SPADI than partial technique (p < 0.05) (Table 3).

High volume injection has significantly higher improvement in VAS, ROM and SPADI than Low volume injection (p < 0.001) (Table 4).

Intra-articular injection has significantly higher improvement in VAS, ROM and SPADI than Extra-articular injection (p < 0.001) (Table 5).
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Table 2: Distribution of patients as regard to basal, immediately postinjection, 1st 24 hr and 2 weeks after intervention Visual analogue score

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Partial technique (n=10)</th>
<th>Full technique (n=15)</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>VAS ≤ 2</td>
<td>No (%) 5 (50%)</td>
<td>No (%) 12 (80%)</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Improvement in ROM</td>
<td>4 (40%) 4 (40%)</td>
<td>10 (66.7%)</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPADI score</td>
<td>5 (50%) 5 (50%)</td>
<td>10 (66.7%)</td>
<td>0.028*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3: Comparison between partial and full technique in frozen shoulder treatment

Table 4: Comparison between Low and high volume injection in Subacromial bursitis treatment

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Low volume injection (n=5)</th>
<th>High volume injection (n=15)</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>VAS ≤ 2</td>
<td>2 (40%)</td>
<td>11 (73.3%)</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Improvement in ROM</td>
<td>2 (40%)</td>
<td>9 (60%)</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPADI score</td>
<td>1 (20%)</td>
<td>9 (60%)</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5: Comparison between Extra and intra-articular injection in Bicepital tenosynovitis treatment

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Extra-articular injection (n=5)</th>
<th>Intra-articular injection (n=10)</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>VAS ≤ 2</td>
<td>3 (60%)</td>
<td>8 (80%)</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Improvement in ROM</td>
<td>2 (40%)</td>
<td>8 (80%)</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPADI score</td>
<td>2 (40%)</td>
<td>7 (70%)</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Case 1:** female patient 70 Years presented with painful limited movements in all direction (frozen shoulder), selected MRI images (a, b and c) showing evidence of fibrosis within rotator interval (white arrow), and thickened coraco-humeral ligament (black arrow), patient underwent fluoroscopic guided hydro dilatation of glenohumeral joint capsule (images d, e and f), then fluoroscopic guided pulsed radiofrequency of supra scapular nerve (images g, h and i) within supra scapular notch (dashed white lines).

**Case 2:** male patient 30 year old presented with anterior and lateral shoulder pain MRI showed evidence of subacromial bursitis, patient underwent ultrasound guided high volume hydro dissection of subacromial bursa.
DISCUSSION

Shoulder pain is a prevalent symptom in orthopaedic and rehabilitative clinics. Proper detection is important to a therapy plan, as erroneous therapeutic strategies can lead to bad prognosis, for shoulder pain cases. But there is no exploration of the distribution on the source of shoulder discomfort.

The current study showed that regarding radiological interventions, patients with frozen shoulder treated by partial technique 10 (16.7%) and full technique 15 (25%), patients with Subacromial bursitis treated by Low volume injection 5 (8.3%) and high-volume injection 15 (25%) while in patients with Bicepital tenosynovitis treated by Extra-articular injection 5 (8.3%) and intra-articular injection 10 (16.7%).

There was a significant difference between basal, immediately, 1st 24 hr and 2 weeks VAS after injection at movement, sleep and total mean score while there is no significant difference between basal, immediately, 1st 24 hr and 2 weeks VAS after injection at rest.

Our results were supported by study of AHMED & AHMED, as they reported that among group with nerve block injection, all patients showed improvement in the first three months, but unfortunately at 6 months, 4 cases showed recurrence of pain, 3 of them (30%) showed recurrence of pain to same severe pre-treatment level, while 1 case (10%) showed a lesser extent being from mild to moderate, according to the VAS.

Furthermore, Wang et al. revealed that Basic scores of all VAS evaluations were not very different across groups (pain at rest, at night and during overhead activities). While both groups indicated a significant decrease in the three scores one month after injection, Group 1 demonstrated rebounded pain at the 3-month follow-up. The conventional subacromial injection (Group 1) or dual target injection group were randomly allocated (group 2).

The present study showed that in frozen shoulder cases, full technique has significantly higher improvement in VAS, ROM and SPADI than partial technique (p < 0.05). Among Bicepital tenosynovitis cases, Intra-articular injection has significantly higher improvement in VAS, ROM and SPADI than Extra-articular injection (p < 0.001).

Scarpone et al. performed a prospective trial in 2013 with a forty five individuals refractory rotator cuff tendinopathy that showed significant improvements in pain, function and MRI results by single intralesional injection of PRP under ultrasound supervision. Also, Nourissat et al. analysis found that, irrespective of the site and kind of lesion, existing evidence did not justify the first line therapy of PRP. This component must be addressed prior to routine usage of PRP.

A prospective open-label non-randomized study from Tagliafico et al. experiment focusing on older people with large cuff tears demonstrated great improvement of pain and mobility ratings in the first four months of follow-up, but not in healthy group. The results of the treatment and untreated cases were not different after 5 months. Thus, researchers revealed that US guiding visco-supplementation is a good therapeutic approach in the first months of management.

CONCLUSION

We can conclude that interventional radiology has an effective role in management of chronic shoulder pain.
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