Evaluation of Setup Uncertainty in Radiotherapy of Pelvic Cancer
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ABSTRACT

Background: The most important event of RT (Radiation Therapy) is to dependably expand the positions to the target volume while limiting the side effects to the normal tissues. Thusly, day by day treatment arrangement is considered as a basic necessity in RT (Radiation Therapy) for an exact positions and setup accuracy for treatment but still the Set-up errors are the inevitable features of the radiation therapy RT (Radiation Therapy) process.

Aim of work: To evaluate three dimensional set-up errors and propose optimum margins for target volume coverage in the pelvic radiation therapy.

Patient and Methods: This study aiming to evaluate setup uncertainty related to pelvic cancer radiotherapy in the Clinical Oncology and Nuclear Medicine Department, at Al Hussein hospital, Al-Azhar University and to estimate how large planning target volume margins are needed in the Pelvic cancer cases.

Results: The mean variation for action level for plan is 3.3 mm (1) SD (Standard Deviation) for pelvic cancer cases. The greatness of precise and irregular mistakes for pelvic cancer cases in our investigation are comparable or not exactly different examinations. To contrast the outcomes and other distributed investigation, we have considered PTV (90, 95,) margin. In our investigation, the determined CTV-PTV (Clinical Target Volume- Planning Target Volume) edge for pelvic cancer patient in the vertical, longitudinal and Lateral Variations from iso-center position were 4.12 mm, 4.62 mm and 3.23 mm, individually.

Conclusion: The consequences of this examination are distinctive to the discoveries of current work. In the present work, we have changed the isocenter in the fourth portion, which brought about decrease of the efficient mistakes. Another distinction can be ascribed to the recurrence of online confirmation.
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INTRODUCTION

The point of Radiation Treatment (RT) is to dependably expand the portion to the objective while limiting the poisonous quality to the typical tissues. Thusly, day by day treatment arrangement is considered as a basic necessity in RT for an exact portion conveyance. The arranging planning target volume (PTV) is characterized as the clinical target volume (CTV) in addition to an edge to represent understanding situating vulnerabilities, b. arrangement and organ movement (for example arrangement edge and interior edge). Arrangement edges directly affect the inclusion of target volume. Hence, these ought to be upgraded to forestall incidental light of Organ AtRisks (OARs). Whereas the irregular mistakes obscure the portion dispersion, the methodical part of blunders prompts amove of the aggregate portion appropriation comparative with the objective. The efficient blunders are reproducible predictable mistakes, happening in a similar bearing and extent yet arbitrary (everyday) blunders can change in course, size and are eccentric. The methodical blunders as opposed to the irregular mistakes are increasingly perilous in light of the fact that they influence all treatment meetings. In this way, methodical mistakes may prompt the repeat of the tumor or genuine harm in ordinary organs. The board accessibility of EPIDs (Electronic Portal Image Devices) has prompted it be a powerful apparatus to diminish arrangement mistakes. Pre-treatment EPIs (Electronic Portal Images) give the assessment of arrangement blunders. Right now,
blunders assessment is doing utilizing an entrance picture and a carefully recreated radiograph DRR (Digitally Reconstructed Radiographs). The goal of this examination was to measure the difference between fragmentary arrangement blunders and 3D vector lengths and compute CTV-PTV edge for various treatment destinations, for pelvic cancercases includingprostate cancercases by electronic entrance pictures direction and decide the ideal PTV edges.

PATIENTS AND METHODS

Patients Selection:

This study aiming to evaluate Setup Uncertainty related to Pelvic Cancer Radiotherapy in the Clinical Oncology and Nuclear Medicine Department, at Al Hussein Hospital of Al-Azhar University and to estimate how large planning target volume margins are needed in the Pelvic Cancer Radiation Therapy when the 2D imaging is used for frequent setup verification in the period between Feb. 2016 to Feb. 2020 including 101 patients with different types of pelvic cancer that treated with radiotherapy.

Treatment Simulation and Planning:

At our establishment, pelvic cancer patients didn't utilize immobilization only but also utilizing the embedded 3 fiducially lead markers. The pelvic cancer patients needed to exhaust rectum and had a full bladder reenactment and treatment meetings before figured tomography CT (Computed Tomography) arranging and day by day treatment. For all pelvic cancer patients were examined in head first recumbent position with laser pillars direction in CT plan for pelvic cases, EPIs were performed for the initial 3 successive treatment divisions. Online arrangement mistake amendment was accomplished for these three portions. At the fourth division, patients were moved to the new iso-center, with normal removals in the initial three parts and were followed once week by week from that point.

The whole study group going to receive pelvic radiation therapy. There are multiple factors which can lead to setup uncertainties for the pelvic and prostate cases. Target volume position in pelvic and prostate cancer can change owing to intestinal movement and vary filling in the bladder and rectum.

Localization, Immobilization, and Simulation: - During simulation and treatment, the patient is prone with a full bladder to decrease the small intestinal dose, rectal markers are used during simulation. The laser localizer system is used to put a 3 radio opaque marks for position reproducibility, these marks are used as references for repositioning of the patient for treatment delivery. The patient then scanned by CT scan machine from the ischialtuberosities up to the lower border of L5 with cuts interval 5mm.

In order to make a dosimetric comparison, IMRT treatment plans were generated for selected patients. Acute effects and late effects in addition to potential complications of treatment were explained clearly to all patient and the study was approved by the university ethics committee. Varian - eclipse planning system was used as an algorithm for planning. Body CT contour was generated automatically and target volumes with the surrounding critical structures were contoured manually. CTV (Clinical Target Volume) was created on the plan then PTV (Planning Target Volume) was created by a 10 mm expansion around CTV in all directions. Target and critical organ delineations were performed by the same radiation oncologist. To perform Dosimetric evaluation for treatment plan in terms of: Planning target volume (PTV) coverage, Organs at risk (OAR) dose, Conformity index (CI) & Homogeneity index (HI).

At that point, the online arrangement mistake amendment would apply if remedy was required. Prior to treatment, patients were positioned with a suitable immobilization device when possible. Then, they were setup to treatment room by laser and skin marks. Portal images are obtained for the first two fractions for the pelvic cancer patients with Hip Fix thermoplastics when needed. Displacements between Digitally Reconstructed Radiographs (DRR) and Electronic Portal Images (EPI) are estimated and analyzed along major three axes. Mean displacements, population systematic and random errors and three dimensional vectors of displacements are calculated.

For example patients with prostate cancer, EPIs were completed a few times each week since we needed to assess the adequacy of fiducial marker-based position check during pelvis EBRT just because at our oncology department. Hence, online arrangement blunder revision was done for pelvic cancer patients. Coordinating DRRs and entrance pictures were performed utilizing the life structures coordinating programming system.

For study the arrangement blunders, the dislodging in two translational ways were surveyed in each field. The symmetrical entry pictures were coordinated utilizing the unmistakable hard tourist spots with their separate DRRs. The activity level was the relocation more noteworthy than 3 mm in pelvic cancer cases along one course where Cut thickness was 5 mm in all cases for CT planning with considering the treatment Iso-Center. At that point, new entryway pictures were obtained. Persistent arrangement blunders were evaluated along three translational bearings {(vertical (Y), longitudinal (Z) and horizontal (X))}.

Measurements:

The deliberate and arbitrary mistakes were determined utilizing the dislodging in three translational ways. For pelvic cancer cases, the orderly blunders were characterized as deviations between the arranged patient position and original patient situation of initial three back to back treatment divisions. The arbitrary blunders were characterized as deviations between various treatment portions taken week after week during a course of the treatment. Standard deviation (SD) of the orderly blunders (σ) and SD of the irregular mistakes (ε) were dissected. Forexample, prostate cancer patients, Σ alludes to SD of every individual
mean, and \( \sigma \) is resolved through the root mean square of the individual SD of all patients. Likewise, we measured the recurrence of 3D vector lengths and determined the greatness of 3D vector utilizing.

Follow UP during treatment sessions:

Portal images were acquired at a dose rate 400 monitor unit (MU) per minute and 1 MU were delivered per field for portal acquisition. EPID images were compared to the DRRs (as a reference image) created for the orthogonal portals at 0\(^\circ\) (anterior) and 90\(^\circ\) (lateral) using treatment planning software (TPS).

Observing of patient situating can be performed by EPID. Matching DRRs and portal images were performed using the anatomy matching software (ARIA-record & verify system). For study the setup errors, the displacement in two transalational directions were assessed in each field. The orthogonal portal images were matched using the visible bony landmarks with their respective DRRs.

Accordingly, any adjustments in the treatment iso-center will be rectified. In the present investigation, we assessed the between partial set up mistakes for different treatment locales of 101 patients utilizing EPID. For the pelvic cancer cases, about 84\%, 77\% and 77\% of the arrangement relocations were under 3.52 mm in the vertical, longitudinal and horizontal headings, individually.

There are different elements which can prompt arrangement vulnerabilities for the pelvic cancer cases. Target volume position in pelvic malignancy can change attributable to intestinal development and differ filling in the bladder and rectum. In the meantime, skin imprints can undoubtedly move in these treatment locales, and can prompt arrangement blunder. Utilizing flimsy lines on the patient's skin just as great modified skin fiducial markers and exactness of laser room can decrease arrangement deviations in theories treatment destinations.

**RESULTS**

The overall mean (average) errors are calculated for all the patients over all whole period of treatment as shown in table 1.

**Table 1:** The Calculated Mean Error (mm) in the Anterior Field & the Lateral Field for 101 Patients during all sessions (444 portal images)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Direction</th>
<th>VRT</th>
<th>LONG</th>
<th>LAT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mean Error (cm) [Anterior Field]</td>
<td>-0.00045</td>
<td>-0.00583</td>
<td>-0.17578</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean Error (cm) [Lateral Field]</td>
<td>-0.32735</td>
<td>0.041256</td>
<td>-0.0009</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The Calculated Systematic Error (mm) and Random Error (mm) for 101 Patient during all sessions as shown in table 2 over all whole period of treatment.

**Table 2:** The Calculated Systematic Error (mm) and Random Error (mm) for 101 Patient during all sessions

The variation in different directions for each patient for iso-center position are shown in figure 1.

**Fig. 1:** the variation in different directions for each patient for iso-center position.

The Mean deviation of pelvis shift in different directions during all treatment sessions for 101 patients by 222 portal images for Vertical (cm), Longitudinal (cm) and Lateral (cm) directions in the Anterior field as presented respectively in Figures 2, 3&4.

**Figure 2:** Scale by cm for variations in Vertical direction in the Anterior field
The Mean deviation of pelvis shift in different directions during all treatment sessions for 101 patients by 222 portal images for Vertical (cm), Longitudinal (cm) and Lateral (cm) directions in the Lateral field as presented respectively in Figure 5, 6&7.

The Confidence level for PTV margins (mm) are summarized in table 3.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Confidence level</th>
<th>VRT</th>
<th>LAT</th>
<th>LONG</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dose</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>99</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>4.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>4.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>3.62</td>
<td>3.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>3.22</td>
<td>3.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3: Confidence level for PTV margins (mm)

**DISCUSSION**

The distributions of the translational and rotational variations in each direction as well as the total displacement of the treatment iso-center from the simulation iso-center were determined. From above results and analysis of 444 portal images of the all 101 patients revealed average unidirectional translational deviations of less than 2.6 mm and a standard deviation of 5.4 mm. The average total undirected distance between the treatment and simulated iso-centers was 6.7 mm with a standard deviation of 5 mm.

Individual for each patient analysis revealed 16 patients from all patients had statistically significant nonzero mean translational variations (p < 0.05).
Translational variations measured with film were an average of 1.8 mm less than those measured with EPID, but this difference was not statistically significant. This may explain the slightly larger average translational variations observed with EPID vs. film, and suggests that the use of EPIDs is a superior method for assessing the true extent of setup displacements. Although no statistically significant translational variations for the patient group overall were observed, 90% of patients had significant translational variations in at least one direction when analyzed separately. (film is used as verification system for iso-center variations in old patient before using portal imager as setup verification system)

We found that in the all treatment locales, the arbitrary mistakes were more noteworthy the sidelong way contrasted with two different bearings. This is most likely because of the optical figment and the mistake in coordinating laser and line on the patient body.

The Action is 3.3 mm (1SD) for pelvic for all variation in different directions from isocenter position. The discoveries of our examination were in accordance with Engelsman M, et al., study. 11The greatness of precise and irregular mistakes for pelvic cancer cases in our investigation are comparable or not exactly different examinations, while we didn't utilize knee backing and footstool for pelvic and prostate disease patients.12-14 To contrast the outcomes and other distributed investigation, we have considered PTV (90, 95,) margin. In our investigation, the determined CTV -PTV edge for outcomes and other distributed investigation, we have considered PTV (90, 95,) margin. In our investigation, the determined CTV -PTV edge for pelvic cancer patients in the vertical, longitudinal and lateral directions were 4.12 mm, 4.62 mm and 3.23 mm, individually.

CONCLUSION

Translational variations measured in this study are in general agreement with previous studies. The use of the EPID in this study was less intrusive and may have resulted in less additional attention being given each imaging setup where the consequences of this examination are distinctive to the discoveries of current work. In the present work, we have changed the iso-center in the fourth portion, which brought about decrement of the efficient mistakes. Another distinction can be ascribed to the recurrence of online confirmation.

The margin to be added to the clinical target volume (CTV) to account for setup uncertainties will depend on whether it is possible to identify patients with significant translational variations, and to eliminate these displacements from routine treatments. The choice to eliminate these variations and to use a smaller CTV margin will have to be accompanied by stringent frequent position verification methods and repositioning.
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